



SOLUTION OF THE MILLENNIUM PROBLEM FOR THE NAVIER-STOKES EQUATIONS

ALEXANDER G. RAMM

ABSTRACT. One of the millennium problems can be stated as follows: can there be smooth, not vanishing identically initial data for the Navier-Stokes equations in \mathbb{R}^3 such that the corresponding solution to the NSP (Navier-Stokes problem) exists for all times $t \geq 0$? We prove that such a solution does not exist.

1. INTRODUCTION

Consider the Navier-Stokes problem (NSP) in \mathbb{R}^3 :

$$v' + (v \cdot \nabla)v = -\nabla p + \nu \Delta v + f, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^3, \quad t \geq 0, \quad (1.1)$$

$$\nabla \cdot v = 0, \quad (1.2)$$

$$v(x, 0) = v_0(x), \quad (1.3)$$

(see, for example, books [4] and [5]). Here $v = v(x, t)$ is the velocity of incompressible viscous fluid, a vector function, $v' := v_t$, $p = p(x, t)$ is the pressure, a scalar function, $f = f(x, t)$ is the exterior force, $\nu = \text{const} > 0$ is the viscosity coefficient, $v_0 = v_0(x) = v(x, 0)$ is the initial velocity,

$$\nabla \cdot v_0 = 0. \quad (1.4)$$

The data $v_0(x)$ and $f(x, t)$ are given, the v and p are to be found. The fluid's density ρ is assumed to be constant, namely $\rho = 1$. We assume, for simplicity only, that $f(x, t) = 0$. We also assume that $v(x, 0) \not\equiv 0$ is a smooth rapidly decaying function.

Let us assume that the solution to NSP (1.1)-(1.3) exists for all $t > 0$.

Theorem 1. Under these assumptions $v(x, 0) = 0$.

The statement of Theorem 1 (the NSP paradox, see [1]–[5]) contradicts the assumption $v(x, 0) \not\equiv 0$. Therefore the solution $v(x, t)$ to NSP cannot exist for all $t > 0$ under our assumptions.

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35Q30, 76D05.

Key words and phrases. Millennium Problems; Navier–Stokes Equations; Smooth Initial Data; Global Existence.

Received: August 25, 2025. Accepted: September 18, 2025. Published: September 30, 2025.

Copyright © 2025 by the Author(s). Licensee Techno Sky Publications. This article is an open-access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

The millennium problem concerning the Navier-Stokes equations can be formulated as follows:

A millennium problem:

Assuming that the data f and $v(x, 0)$ are smooth and rapidly decaying, can a solution to NSP exist for all times $t > 0$?

Theorem 1 gives a negative answer to the above millennium problem.

2. PROOF

This millennium problem was solved in monographs [1], [2]. This solution is sketched in papers [3], [4]. The NSP paradox is stated in paper [5].

The aim of this short paper is to outline the steps of the proof of Theorem 1.

Step 1. Equivalence of the NSP to the integral equation:

$$\tilde{v} = \tilde{F} - (2\pi)^3 \int_0^t \tilde{G}(\xi, t-s) \tilde{v} \star (i\xi \tilde{v}) ds. \quad (2.1)$$

This equivalence was proved in [2], p.18.

Step 2. Derivation of an integral inequality for the solution to NSP:

$$b(t) \leq b_0(t) + c \int_0^t (t-s)^{-\frac{5}{4}} b(s) q(s) ds, \quad (2.2)$$

where $c > 0$ is a constant, and

$$b_0(t) := \|\xi \tilde{F}(\xi, t)\|, \quad b(t) := \|\xi \tilde{v}(\xi, t)\|. \quad (2.3)$$

The norm is $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$ norm. A derivation of integral inequality (2.2) is given in [2] and in [4], p. 3730.

The integral in (2.2) diverges from the classical point of view. We have to define this integral (see [7] and [8]).

Together with inequality (2.2) we study integral equation

$$q(t) = b_0(t) + c \int_0^t (t-s)^{-\frac{5}{4}} q(s) ds. \quad (2.4)$$

The reason to consider (2.2) and (2.4) together is this: we prove that

$$0 < b(t) \leq q(t), \quad (2.5)$$

and

$$\sup_{t \geq 0} |q(t)| < \infty, \quad q(0) = 0. \quad (2.6)$$

From (2.5) and (2.6) it follows that $b(t) = 0$.

This implies $\tilde{v}(x, 0) = 0$ even if we assume that $v(x, 0)$ is not equal to zero identically, smooth, rapidly decaying, and the solution to (1.1)–(1.3) exists for all $t > 0$. This is the *NSP paradox* discovered in [5]. It solves the millennium problem related to the Navier-Stokes problem.

It is also proved in [2], p. 33, that

$$\sup_{t \geq 0} [\|v(x, t)\| + \|\nabla v(x, t)\|] < \infty. \quad (2.7)$$

Step 3. Theory of some divergent integrals.

Let us define $\Phi(t) := \frac{t^{\lambda-1}}{\Gamma(\lambda)}$, where $t^{\lambda-1} := 0$ if $t < 0$, and $\Gamma(\lambda)$ is the Gamma-function. Consider the Laplace transform of $\Phi(t)$:

$$L\Phi = \int_0^\infty e^{-pt} \Phi(t) dt. \quad (2.8)$$

One checks that for $\operatorname{Re} p > 0$ and for any complex λ :

$$L\Phi = \frac{1}{p^\lambda}. \quad (2.9)$$

For $\lambda > 0$ the $L\Phi$ is defined classically, and for $\operatorname{Re} \lambda < 0$ it is defined by *analytic continuation* (see [6] and [7]).

Let us solve analytically equation (2.4). Take the Laplace transform of equation (2.4) and get

$$Lq = Lb_0 + c\Gamma(-\frac{1}{4})p^{\frac{1}{4}}Lq, \quad (2.10)$$

so

$$Lq = \frac{Lb_0}{1 + 4c\Gamma(\frac{3}{4})p^{\frac{1}{4}}}. \quad (2.11)$$

One has $c > 0$ and $\Gamma(-\frac{1}{4}) = -4\Gamma(\frac{3}{4})$, where we have used the well-known formula $\Gamma(z) = \frac{\Gamma(z+1)}{z}$ for $z = -\frac{1}{4}$.

Since $b_0(t) > 0$, $b(t) > 0$, $c > 0$, $\Gamma(\frac{3}{4}) > 0$, formula (2.11) implies that $Lq > 0$. We have assumed that $v(x, 0) \not\equiv 0$ is smooth and rapidly decaying at infinity together with its derivatives. Therefore, its Fourier transform is smooth and rapidly decaying at infinity. Consequently, $Lb_0 = O(\frac{1}{p})$ as $p \rightarrow \infty$, and $Lq = O(\frac{1}{|p|^{\frac{5}{4}}})$ as $p \rightarrow \infty$.

This implies (see [6], p.271, Lemma 1) that $q(0) = 0$.

This proves the NSP paradox and Theorem 1.

3. CONCLUSION

Author's solution to the millennium problem related to the Navier-Stokes equations is discussed. It is proved that the Navier-Stokes problem in \mathbb{R}^3 with a smooth rapidly decaying not identically zero initial data does not have a solution defined for all $t > 0$. The NSP paradox is formulated. An outline of the author's proof is given so that the reader can see the basic steps.

4. DISCLOSURE STATEMENT.

There are no competing interests to declare. There is no financial support for this work.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. G. Ramm, *The Navier-Stokes problem*, Morgan and Claypool publishers, 2021.
- [2] A. G. Ramm, *Analysis of the Navier-Stokes problem. Solution of a millennium problem*, Springer, 2023.
- [3] A. G. Ramm, The Navier-Stokes problem. Solution of a millennium problem related to the Navier-Stokes equations, *Modern Math.methods*, 2, N1, (2024), 19-26.
- [4] A. G. Ramm, Solution to the millennium problem related to the Navier-Stokes equations, *Lobachevskii Journal of Math.*, 45, N8, (2024), 3726-3735.
- [5] A. G. Ramm, Navier-Stokes equations paradox, *Reports on Math. Physics (ROMP)*, 88, N1, (2021), 41-45.
- [6] A. G. Ramm, On the Laplace transform, *Journ. Appl. Analysis*, 30, N2, (2024), 209-213.
- [7] A. G. Ramm, Applications of analytic continuation to tables of integral transforms and some integral equations with hyper-singular kernels, *Open Journal of Optimization*, 11, (2022), 1-6. <https://www.scirp.org/journal/ojop>

- [8] A. G. Ramm, The solution to some hypersingular integral equations, *Journal of Mathematics and Statistics*, Volume 20, (2024), pp. 45.48.

ALEXANDER G. RAMM

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, KANSAS STATE UNIVERSITY

MANHATTAN, KS 66506, USA.

ORCID: 0000-0002-5160-4248

Email address: ramm@ksu.edu