



FINDING MASS DISTRIBUTION FROM THE EXTERIOR POTENTIAL

ALEXANDER G. RAMM

ABSTRACT. Let $D \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ be a bounded domain. Consider the equation $\int_D \frac{m(y)}{4\pi|x-y|} dy = f(x)$, $x \in D'$, where $D' = \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus D$. We give a method for calculating $m(y)$ from the knowledge of $f(x)$ in a subdomain of D' .

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper an idea from [3] (see also monograph [7]) is used in a multidimensional problem. In [2] results on numerical solution of operator equations are presented.

Let

$$Am := \int_D \frac{m(y)}{4\pi|x-y|} dy = f(x), \quad x \in D', \quad (1.1)$$

where $D' = \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus D$. Let D_1 be a finite subdomain in D' .

Assume that equation (1.1) has a solution in $C(D)$. For this, it is necessary that $f(x)$ be a harmonic function in D' and sufficient that it be continuous up to the boundary S of D . Indeed, $Am = f$ is harmonic in D' , and for m to be continuous up to S it is sufficient that f be continuous in \mathbb{R}^3 .

We assume S to be a smooth, closed and connected surface.

The operator A acts from $C(D)$ into $C(D_1)$. Let

$$Bm := \int_{D_1} dx \frac{1}{4\pi|\xi-x|} \int_D \frac{1}{4\pi|x-y|} m(y) dy := \int_D B(\xi, y) m(y) dy = \int_{D_1} dx \frac{1}{4\pi|\xi-x|} f(x) := h(\xi), \quad (1.2)$$

where

$$Bm = \int_D B(\xi, y) m(y) dy = h(\xi), \quad (1.3)$$

and

$$B(\xi, y) = \frac{1}{16\pi^2} \int_D \frac{1}{|\xi-y||y-x|} dy. \quad (1.4)$$

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 45A05; 45E10; 45Q05; 65M32.

Key words and phrases. Integral equations; Regularization; Mass distribution; Exterior potential.

Received: April 08, 2025. Accepted: June 19, 2025. Published: June 30, 2025.

Copyright © 2025 by the Author(s). Licensee Techno Sky Publications. This article is an open-access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

The kernel $B(\xi, y) = B(y, \xi)$ is symmetric, the operator $B : X \rightarrow X$, where $X := L^2(D)$, is self-adjoint and compact. Thus, the spectrum of B consists of eigenvalues $\lambda_n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

2. PROOFS

Lemma 1. The operator B is non-negative in X , $(Bm, m) \geq 0$.

Proof of Lemma 1. One has:

$$(Bm, m) = \frac{1}{16\pi^2} \int_D \frac{1}{|\xi - y||y - x|} m(x)m(\xi) dx d\xi dy = \frac{1}{16\pi^2} \left(\int_D \frac{1}{|y - x|} m(x) dx \right)^2 \geq 0. \quad (2.1)$$

Lemma 1 is proved. \square

Equation (1.3) is obviously equivalent to the equation

$$m = (I - B)m + h(\xi). \quad (2.2)$$

Lemma 2. Equation (1.1) is equivalent to equation (1.3).

Proof of Lemma 2. From equation (1.1) one derives equation (1.3) by multiplying equation (1.1) by $\frac{1}{4\pi|\xi-x|}$ and integrating over D_1 . Denote this operation as A^* . Then $B = A^*A$. Let us derive from (1.3) equation (1.1).

Let $\|m\|^2 := \int_D |m(y)|^2 dy$, and $(m, p) := \int_D m(y)\overline{p(y)} dy$, where the overline denotes complex conjugate; one can consider the space of real-valued functions and then the overline can be dropped. One has

$$\|Am - f\|^2 = (Am, Am) + (f, f) - (Am, f) - (f, Am) = 0.$$

Here we have used the equation $Am = f$, which was assumed solvable.

Lemma 2 is proved. \square

Let us choose the domain D_1 sufficiently far from D . Then the norm of the operator B will be less than 1,

$$\|B\| < 1, \quad B \geq 0. \quad (2.3)$$

Then

$$0 < (I - B) \leq 1. \quad (2.4)$$

Consider the iterative process

$$m_{n+1} = (I - B)m_n + h(\xi), \quad m_0 = p_0, \quad (2.5)$$

where p_0 is an arbitrary element in $L^2(D)$ or $C(D)$. If there is a subsequence of m_n which converges in $L^2(D)$, $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} m_{n_j} = m$, then its limit m solves equation (2.2). This equation is equivalent to $Bm = h$, and this equation is equivalent to equation (1.1). Therefore we have an iterative process for solving equation (1.1).

Does a convergent subsequence of m_n exist? The kernel $B(\xi, y)$ is positive. We have proved that. The operator B is self-adjoint, compact, and maps non-negative functions into non-negative ones: it maps a cone of non-negative functions into itself. The operator $I - B$ is self-adjoint, and if the domain D_1 is sufficiently far from D , then the norm of B in either $L^2(D)$ or $C(D)$ is sufficiently small, it less than 1, so the operator $I - B$ is non-negative, the inequalities (2.4) hold.

Subtract from (2.2) equation (2.5) and get

$$q_{n+1} = (I - B)q_n, \quad q_n := m - m_n. \quad (2.6)$$

Since $T := I - B$ is self-adjoint and $0 \leq T \leq 1$, it has a spectral representation:

$$T = \int_0^1 s dE(s), \quad \|T\| \leq 1, \quad (2.7)$$

where $E(s)$ is the resolution of the identity of the self-adjoint operator T .

From (2.6) it follows that

$$q_{n+1} = T^{n+1}q_0, \quad q_n := m - m_n. \quad (2.8)$$

Choose q_0 orthogonal to the set $H_1 := \{\phi : B\phi = 0\}$. If the equation (1.3), or the equivalent to (1.3) equation (2.2), is solvable, then h must be orthogonal to H_1 . Let us choose q_0 orthogonal to H_1 . Let $\epsilon > 0$ be an arbitrary small fixed number. One can find $\eta > 0$ sufficiently small, so that

$$\|T^{n+1}q_0\| < \left\| \int_{1-\eta}^1 s^{n+1} dE(s)q_0 \right\| < \epsilon, \quad (2.9)$$

and a sufficiently large n so that

$$\left\| \int_0^{1-\eta} s^{n+1} dE(s)q_0 \right\| \leq \eta^{n+1} \|q_0\| < \epsilon. \quad (2.10)$$

From (2.9) and (2.10) it follows that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|q_n\| = 0. \quad (2.11)$$

We have proved

Theorem 1. *If equation (1.1) has a solution, then the iterative process (2.5) converges to a solution to equation (1.1).*

Let us prove that the iterative process (2.5) allows one to construct a stable approximation to the solution of equation (1.1). Suppose that h_δ is given in place of h , and equation (2.2) is not necessarily solvable, but $\|h - h_\delta\| < \delta$. One has:

$$\|m - m_{n,\delta}\| \leq \|m - m_n\| + \|m_n - m_{n,\delta}\|. \quad (2.12)$$

We have proved that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|m - m_n\| = 0. \quad (2.13)$$

Let us choose $\delta(n)$ so that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [n\delta(n)] = 0. \quad (2.14)$$

If (2.14) holds, then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|m_n - m_{n,\delta}\| = 0. \quad (2.15)$$

Indeed,

$$\|m_n - m_{n,\delta}\| \leq \|(I-B)(m_{n-1} - m_{n-1,\delta})\| + \delta \leq \|T\|^n \delta + n\delta \leq (n+1)\delta \rightarrow 0 \text{ if } n \rightarrow \infty, \quad (2.16)$$

where we have used the inequality $\|I - B\| \leq 1$, that we have established above.

An iterative method for solving linear Fredholm equations of the first kind was published earlier in [1]. This method for solving equation (1.3) is of the form

$$m_{n+1} = m_n + \lambda(h - Bm_n), \quad m_0 \in L^2(D), \quad 0 < \lambda\lambda_1 < 1, \quad (2.17)$$

where λ_1 is the largest eigenvalue of the self-adjoint compact operator B . Let $m_n = m + u_n$, where m is a solution to equation (1.3), which is assumed to exist. Let us prove that $\|u_n\| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. From (2.17) one derives

$$u_{n+1} = u_n - \lambda B u_n. \quad (2.18)$$

Let $B\phi_j = \lambda_j\phi_j$. The set of ϕ_j is complete in $L^2(D)$ since B is self-adjoint. Let $b_{n,j} := (u_n, \phi_j)$. Equation (2.18) implies:

$$b_{n+1,j} = b_{n,j}(1 - \lambda\lambda_j) = b_{0,j}(1 - \lambda\lambda_1)^n, \quad (2.19)$$

where we have used the inequalities $0 \leq \lambda\lambda - j < \lambda\lambda_1 < 1$. One has $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |b_{0,j}|^2 = \|u_0\|^2 < c$, where $c = \text{const}$. Also, $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |b_{n,j}|^2 \leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |b_{0,j}|^2 (1 - \lambda\lambda_1)^n \leq c$. For an arbitrary small $\epsilon > 0$ choose k such that $\sum_{j=k}^{\infty} |b_{n,j}|^2 < \epsilon$. For a fixed k , choose n sufficiently large so that $\sum_{j=1}^k |b_{n,j}|^2 < \epsilon$. This is possible since $(1 - \lambda\lambda_1) < 1$. As a result, one gets $\|u_n\|^2 < 2\epsilon$ if n is sufficiently large. Since $\epsilon > 0$ was arbitrary small, one gets $\|u_n\| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. We have proved

Theorem 2. Iterative process (2.17) converges to a solution of equation (1.3), if this equation has a solution.

Several other papers were published in Ann. of Communications in Math., [4], [5], [6].

3. CONCLUSION

A method is given for the calculation of the solution to equation (1.1).

4. CONFLICT OF INTEREST

There is no conflict of interest.

REFERENCES

- [1] V. Fridman, Method of successive approximation for integral equations of the first kind, Uspekhi Math. Nauk, 11, N1, (1956), 233-234.
- [2] M. Krasnoselskii and others, Approximate solution of operator equations, Nauka, Moscow, 1969.
- [3] A.G. Ramm, On one integral equation, Comptes rendus de l'Academie bulgare des Sciences, 32, N6, (1979), 715-717.
- [4] A.G. Ramm, New definition of singular integral operators, Ann. of Communications in Math., 6, N4, (2023), 220-224.
- [5] A.G. Ramm, Absence of positive eigenvalues of the Laplacian in domains with infinite boundaries, Ann. of Communications in Math., 7, N3, (2024), 264-266.
- [6] A.G. Ramm, Materials with a desired refraction coefficient, Ann. of Communications in Math., 8, N1, (2025), 38-42.
- [7] A.G. Ramm, Theory and applications of some new classes of integral equations, Springer Verlag, New York, 1980, Appendix 1.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, KANSAS STATE UNIVERSITY
MANHATTAN, KS 66506, USA.

ORCID: 0000-0002-5160-4248

Email address: ramm@ksu.edu